

Date: Sun, 25 Apr 93 04:30:19 PDT  
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #115  
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest                      Sun, 25 Apr 93                      Volume 93 : Issue    115

Today's Topics:

                    My thoughts...  
                    qrp mailing list...

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

-----  
Date: Fri, 23 Apr 1993 15:49:36 GMT  
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!pasteur!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!zaphod.mps.ohio-  
state.edu!moe.ksu.ksu.edu!mimbres.cs.unm.edu!bbx!dthomas@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: My thoughts...  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

bly> I think that we should reallocate a big chunk of 10m to CB

justin> 2M is just like CB, but on a higher level [...] mostly chit chat.

I think the world is a far different place than it used to be. Way back  
when, if you wanted to get on the ham bands, you generally built gear. This  
meant that most radio amateurs were hackers. Whether it's CB, ham radio or  
something else, anytime J. Random Luser can purchase a ready-made rig and  
start chit chatting, there will be a preponderance of chit chatting.

Not that there is anything wrong with chit chat, but when I got into ham  
radio, I really hoped I would be able to meet interesting radio hobbyists  
and talk about building, experiments and such. These folks are hard to find!  
And you have to wade through some real anal idiots ("You realize you're 2 Hz  
off frequency?", "This phone patch is only for emergencies... hello, Ma?").

But I've found the answer, I think. The \*real\* radio amateurs of today are on the illegal "upper" CB channels (27.555 Mhz et al). I don't mean to slam the other ham radio operators. There is nothing wrong with taking a test, getting a license, buying a radio and shooting the breeze with 60 year olds. I just have little to say to such folks.

The folks on those illegal CB channels got there by modifying their radios themselves, and are truly interested in the workings of radio. These are people I can talk to. I guess I have to be a law breaker to have any fun on radio. And I'm screwed because if it were made legal, commercial rigs would be widely available and the hackers would be outnumbered and forced elsewhere.

If only this were the 1940's, I could enjoy radio without breaking the law. Alas, in today's world, I cannot.

David

-----  
Date: Sat, 24 Apr 1993 18:17:18 GMT  
From: sdd.hp.com!elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!swrinde!gatech!howland.reston.ans.net!  
usenet.ins.cwru.edu!nshore!fmsystem!andrews@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: qrp mailing list...  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Hello all,

For some strange reason, I HAVE NOT recieved anything from the qrp mailing list (i.e. I don't know what happened to the 6M thread).

If someone could put me back on the mailing list, it would be greatly appreciated.

--  
.. .. .. .. ..  
.. .. .. .. ..  
.. .. .. .. ..

-----  
Date: Fri, 23 Apr 93 14:45:38 GMT  
From: mvb.saic.com!unogate!news.service.uci.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!  
sol.ctr.columbia.edu!destroyer!cs.ubc.ca!unixg.ubc.ca!kakwa.ucs.ualberta.ca!  
alberta!adec23!mark@network.UCSD.EDU  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <9304200226.AB04700@netmail.microsoft.com>,  
<1993Apr20.161800.3415@leland.Stanford.EDU>, <C5syJE.C1x@squam.banyan.com>  
Subject : Re: CW = effective utilization?

dts@banyan.com (Daniel Senie) writes:

>OK, so crank up your keyer to 20 or 30 WPM and turn on the spectrum analyzer  
>and tell me how wide your transmitted signal is.

Sorry, my CW budget doesn't allow for a spectrum analyzer, but funny, my  
CW signal is passing through a mechanical 100Hz key klick filter ...

Ciao -- Mark

What! you are running 1KW on CW to talk to your neighbor across the street?

-----  
Date: Sat, 24 Apr 1993 04:24:40 GMT  
From: agate!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!nshore!fmsystem!andrews@ames.arpa  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993Apr20.190557.118942@locus.com>,  
<930421.184604.3d0.rusnews.w165w@garlic.sbs.com>,  
<1993Apr22.145904.3359@mnemosyne.cs.du.edu>  
Subject : Re: I like it, so everybody should like it

In article <1993Apr22.145904.3359@mnemosyne.cs.du.edu> jmaynard@nyx.cs.du.edu (Jay  
Maynard) writes:

>In article <930421.184604.3d0.rusnews.w165w@garlic.sbs.com> system@garlic.sbs.com  
(Anthony S. Pelliccio) writes:

>>dana@lando.la.locus.com (Dana H. Myers) writes:

>>> I like listening to (among other things) head-banger music. We should  
>>> change the Extra class exam so you have to write down all the words to  
>>> all the songs on Metallica's \_And Justice For All\_, with no mistakes.  
>>Ooooooh.. I don't exactly go for the head banger stuff but do like urban  
>>sooooooo..... how about rap, like see if you can write down all the  
>>words to Snow's "Informer".

>

>I can't stand either. Gimme classic rock...and, of course, the words to ZZ

>Top's "La Grange".

>

Your all crazy, 'REAL Hams' listen to 'Acid', 'Thrash', and 'Industrial'  
muzak. And remember kiddies, 'Grunge' died in 1985!

--

.. .. .. .. ..  
.. .. .. .. ..

.. \_.. ..

-----

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #115

\*\*\*\*\*